UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,459	08/31/2006	Herbert Mosse	ESSR:123US	5757
	7590 10/05/2010 & JAWORSKI L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
600 CONGRES	SS AVE.		MILLER, MICHAEL G	
SUITE 2400 AUSTIN, TX 78701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

aopatent@fulbright.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/598,459	MOSSE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MICHAEL G. MILLER	1712
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON.  timely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The 3 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the least or the specific specifi	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is contact the drawing(s) is contact the drawing(s) is contact the drawing(s).	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been recei eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) \( \int \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/598,459 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-33, drawn to a method for applying a polarizing coating to a lens.

Group II, claim(s) 34, drawn to a lens coated by at least the method of Claim 1.

Group III, claim(s) 35-51, drawn to an apparatus comprising a flexible portion.

Group IV, claim(s) 52-54, drawn to an apparatus comprising a lens with a coating thereon.

Group V, claim(s) 55-63, drawn to a method for applying a polarizing coating to a lens.

- 2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:
- 3. With regards to Group I, the method proposed therein cannot be considered as involving an inventive step. Khan et al (U.S. Patent 6,049,428) discloses applying a polarizing liquid to the curved surface of a substrate by shear flow with a squeegee, which by definition is 'flexible' (Column 21, Lines 1-25). The subject matter of present Claim 1 therefore differs from the disclosure of Khan et al in that an opthalmic lens is not explicitly disclosed therein. An opthalmic lens, however, is an obvious substrate for application of a polarized coating (polarized sunglasses as one non-limiting example).

Application/Control Number: 10/598,459

Art Unit: 1712

Every feature of Claim 1 is therefore known or obvious; therefore, there is no special technical feature in Claim 1 which gives it unity with any other group.

Page 3

- 4. With regards to the invention of Group II, the lens of Claim 34 requires no features beyond that of Claim 1. Every feature of Claim 34 is therefore known or obvious for at least the reasons given in point 3; therefore, there is no special technical feature contained in Claim 34 which gives it unity with any other group.
- 5. With regards to the invention of Group III, Examiner cites Impey (GB Patent 185,906) which makes reference to a golf ball painter. The golf ball painter is an apparatus comprising a flexible portion, in this case a brush which is configured to press against the convex outer surface of the golf ball to ensure uniform distribution of the paint. This pressure induces shear flow in the paint as applied to the golf ball. Claim 2 and Page 2 Lines 20-65 discuss the apparatus, more distinctly Lines 43-49 which makes reference to Figure 3. Examiner takes the position that if the brush is configured to apply shear flow to a coating applied to the convex portion of a golf ball, it is also configured to apply shear flow to a coating applied to the convex portion of a lens. Every feature of Claim 35 is therefore known or obvious; therefore, there is no special technical feature contained in Claim 35 which gives it unity with any other group.
- 6. With regards to the invention of Group IV, the lens of Claim 52 requires no features beyond that of Claims 1 and 35. Every feature of Claim 52 is therefore known or obvious for at least the reasons given in points 3 and 5; therefore there is no special technical feature in Claim 52 which gives it unity with any other group.

7. With regards to the invention of Group V, as there are no special technical features in Groups I-IV which provide unity to Claim 55, there can be no special technical feature in Group V which provides unity to any other group.

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,459

Art Unit: 1712

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

10. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result

Application/Control Number: 10/598,459 Page 6

Art Unit: 1712

in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL G. MILLER whose telephone number is (571)270-1861. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on (571) 272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael G. Miller/ Examiner, Art Unit 1712 Application/Control Number: 10/598,459 Page 7

Art Unit: 1712

/Michael Cleveland/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1712